

Trace Formula for Orthogonal Polynomials with Asymptotically 2-Periodic Recurrence Coefficients

Boris P. Osilenker

*Department of Mathematics, Moscow State Civil Engineering University,
2-oi Krestovskii pereulok Dom 4, Apt. 55, 129041 Moscow, Russia*

Communicated by Walter Van Assche

Received March 4, 1996; accepted in revised form March 15, 1997

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose μ is a positive probability measure on a compact set on the real line, that is, μ is a positive Borel measure with $\int d\mu = 1$. Then there is a unique sequence of polynomials

$$p_n(x) = k_n x^n + \dots, \quad k_n > 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\})$$

such that

$$\int p_m(x) p_n(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{mn} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

These orthonormal polynomials satisfy a three-term recurrence relation

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + a_n p_{n-1}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial conditions

$$p_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad p_0(x) = 1, \quad a_0 = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $a_{n+1} = k_n/k_{n+1} > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, by Favard's Theorem, if the polynomials $p_n(x)$ are given by the recurrence formula (1.1) with $a_{n+1} > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a positive Borel measure μ such that $\{p_n\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) is an orthonormal polynomial system with respect to the measure μ . If a_n and b_n are bounded, then the measure μ is unique and the support of μ is compact.

The following result (Trace Formula) establishes the connection between Jacobi matrices

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_1 & b_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_2 & a_3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

and their spectral measures.

THEOREM 1 [8, 14] (see also [16]). *If $\text{Supp}(\mu) = [-1, 1]$ and if the recursion coefficients $\{a_{n+1}\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ satisfy*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_{n+1} - a_n| + |b_{n+1} - b_n|) < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2) p_n^2(x) + a_n(b_n - b_{n-1}) p_{n-1}(x) p_n(x)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\mu'(x)}$$

holds uniformly on all compact sets in $(-1, 1)$. In addition, the measure μ is absolutely continuous in the open interval $(-1, 1)$, $\mu'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (-1, 1)$, and $\mu'(x)$ is continuous in $(-1, 1)$.

Given two periodic sequences $\{a_{n+1}^0\}$ ($a_{n+1}^0 > 0$) and $\{b_n^0\}$ ($b_n^0 \in \mathbb{R}$) ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) with period $N \geq 1$, the Jacobi matrix J is called asymptotically N -periodic ($J \in AP_N$), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [|a_n - a_n^0| + |b_n - b_n^0|] = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

In a survey [16] P. Nevai has posed the following problem: Extend the Trace Formula to asymptotically N -periodic Jacobi matrices. We investigate this problem for the case $N = 2$.

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE KERNELS

We assume that two periodic sequences $a_{n+1}^0 > 0$ and b_n^0 ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) are given such that

$$a_{n+2}^0 = a_n^0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad b_{n+2}^0 = b_n^0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

(i.e., period $N=2$), and that the recurrence coefficients a_{n+1} and b_n satisfy (1.5). We will write $J \in AP_2$.

Denote the orthonormal polynomials with periodic recurrence coefficients a_{n+1}^0 and b_n^0 by $q_n(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} xq_n(x) &= a_{n+1}^0 q_{n+1}(x) + b_n^0 q_n(x) + a_n^0 q_{n-1}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \\ q_{-1}(x) &= 0, \quad q_0(x) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[q_2(x) - \frac{a_2^0}{a_1^0} \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

The essential spectrum of the polynomials $q_n(x)$, resp. $p_n(x)$, consists of two intervals E , where $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}, -1 \leq T(x) \leq 1\}$. The set E is of the form

$$\left[\alpha, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} t \right] \cup \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + t \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}, \beta \right] \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq t < 1,$$

or in other words, if $-1, 1$ is the smallest and largest boundary point of E (this can be obtained easily by a linear transformation of $q_n(x)$ resp. $p_n(x)$) then E is of the form

$$E = [-1, -t] \cup [t, 1] \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq t < 1.$$

These facts follow from [20, 21]. The special case when the intervals touch each other (the set $\{T^2(x) = 1\}$ consists of the endpoints of the intervals) leads to sieved orthogonal polynomials (see details in [1, 9–11, 21]).

LEMMA 1. *If $J \in AP_2$, then the following recurrence relation is valid,*

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) p_n(x) &= \alpha_{n+2} p_{n+2}(x) + \beta_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + \gamma_n p_n(x) \\ &\quad + \beta_n p_{n-1}(x) + \alpha_n p_{n-2}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

with boundary conditions

$$p_{-2}(x) = 0, \quad p_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \beta_0 = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) &= 2a_1^0 a_2^0 T(x) = (x - b_0^0)(x - b_1^0) - (a_1^0)^2 - (a_2^0)^2 \\ \alpha_{n+2} &= a_{n+1} a_{n+2}, \quad \beta_n = a_n(b_{n-1} + b_n - b_0^0 - b_1^0) \\ \gamma_n &= a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2 - (a_1^0)^2 - (a_2^0)^2 + (b_n - b_0^0)(b_n - b_1^0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = a_1^0 a_2^0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, Lemma 1 follows from the definition of AP_2 and the five-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 p_n(x) &= a_{n+1} a_{n+2} p_{n+2}(x) + a_{n+1} (b_n + b_{n+1}) p_{n+1}(x) \\ &\quad + (a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2 + b_n^2) p_n(x) + a_n (b_{n-1} + b_n) p_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad + a_n a_{n-1} p_{n-2}(x) \end{aligned}$$

by a direct computation.

LEMMA 2. *Let $J \in AP_2$. Then for the Dirichlet kernel*

$$D_n(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(t) p_k(x)$$

the representation

$$\begin{aligned} [s(t) - s(x)] D_n(t, x) &= \alpha_{n+2} [p_{n+2}(t) p_n(x) - p_n(t) p_{n+2}(x)] \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n+1} [p_{n+1}(t) p_{n-1}(x) - p_{n-1}(t) p_{n+1}(x)] \\ &\quad + \beta_{n+1} [p_{n+1}(t) p_n(x) - p_n(t) p_{n+1}(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

holds. Here $s(x)$, α_n , β_n are defined by (2.4).

In fact, formula (2.6) follows from (2.2) and (2.3) by straightforward calculations.

LEMMA 3. *If $J \in AP_2$, then the following representation of the Fejér kernel,*

$$F_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k(t, x),$$

is valid

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)[s(t) - s(x)]^2 F_n(t, x) \\ = \xi_n(t, x) + \xi_n(x, t) + G_n(t, x) + G_n(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_n(t, x) = & \alpha_{n+2}^2 [p_n(t) p_n(x) - p_{n+2}(t) p_{n+2}(x)] \\
 & - 2[\alpha_n^2 p_n(t) p_n(x) + \alpha_{n+1}^2 p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+1}(x)] \\
 & + \alpha_{n+2} \alpha_{n+4} p_{n+4}(t) p_n(x) + 2\alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{n+3} p_{n+3}(t) p_{n-1}(x) \\
 & + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n-2}(x) + \alpha_{n+2} (\gamma_{n+2} - \gamma_n) p_{n+2}(t) p_n(x) \\
 & - \beta_{n+1}^2 p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+1}(x) + (\alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+3} + \alpha_{n+3} \beta_{n+1}) p_{n+3}(t) p_n(x) \\
 & + 2\alpha_{n+1} \beta_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n-1}(x) + \beta_{n+1} \beta_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_n(x) \\
 & + (\alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+2} - 2\alpha_{n+1} \beta_n) p_{n+1}(t) p_n(x) \\
 & - \alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+1} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n+1}(x) - \alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+1} p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+2}(x) \\
 & - \alpha_{n+1} \beta_n p_n(t) p_{n+1}(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_n(t, x) = & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2}^2 - \alpha_k^2) p_k(t) p_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n (\beta_{k+1}^2 - \beta_k^2) p_k(t) p_k(x) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{k+2} (\gamma_{k+2} - \gamma_k) p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (\alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+3} - \alpha_{k+3} \beta_{k+1}) p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+1} \beta_k) p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1} (\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k) p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+1} \beta_k) p_k(t) p_{k+1}(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ are defined by (2.4).

Proof. By formula (2.6) one has

$$(n+1)[s(t) - s(x)]^2 F_n(t, x) = \zeta_n(t, x) + \zeta_n(x, t), \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\zeta_n(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \theta_k(t, x) \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_k(t, x) &= \alpha_{k+2}s(t) p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) + \alpha_{k+1}s(t) p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \beta_{k+1}s(t) p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) - \alpha_{k+2}p_{k+2}(t) s(x) p_k(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) s(x) p_{k-1}(x) - \beta_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) s(x) p_k(x).\end{aligned}$$

The recurrence relation (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_k(t, x) &= \alpha_{k+2}\alpha_{k+4}p_{k+4}(t) p_k(x) + \alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+3}p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k+2}\gamma_{k+2}p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) + \alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+2}p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k+2}^2p_k(t) p_k(x) + \alpha_{k+1}\alpha_{k+3}p_{k+3}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k+1}\beta_{k+2}p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-1}(x) + \alpha_{k+1}\gamma_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}p_k(t) p_{k-1}(x) + \alpha_{k+3}\beta_{k+1}p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \beta_{k+1}\beta_{k+2}p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) + \beta_{k+1}\gamma_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \beta_{k+1}^2p_k(t) p_k(x) + \alpha_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}p_{k-1}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+2}^2p_{k+2}(t) p_{k+2}(x) - \alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+1}p_{k+2}(t) p_{k+1}(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+2}\gamma_k p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) - \alpha_{k+2}\beta_k p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+2}(x) - \beta_{k+1}^2p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+1}(x) \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}\gamma_k p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) - \alpha_{k+2}\alpha_k p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-2}(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+1}^2p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+1}(x) - \alpha_{k-1}\alpha_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-3}(x) \\ &\quad - \beta_{k+1}\beta_k p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) - \alpha_k\beta_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-2}(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+1}\beta_k p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) - \alpha_{k+1}\gamma_{k-1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{k+1}\beta_{k+1}p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-2}(x) + \alpha_{k+1}^2p_{k-1}(t) p_{k-1}(x).\end{aligned}$$

For the calculation of $\zeta_n(t, x)$ we regroup similar terms of the last relation. Then $\zeta_n(t, x)$ can be represented in the form

$$\zeta_n(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^6 \sigma_i(t, x), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2}^2 p_k(t) p_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1}^2 p_{k-1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2}^2 p_{k+2}(t) p_{k+2}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1}^2 p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+1}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1}^2 p_k(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1}^2 p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+1}(x); \\
\sigma_2(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \alpha_{k+4} p_{k+4}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \alpha_{k+2} p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-2}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \alpha_{k+3} p_{k+3}(t) p_{k-1}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-3}(x); \\
\sigma_3(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+3} p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \beta_k p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k+2} p_{k+2}(t) p_{k-1}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k-1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-2}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+3} \beta_{k+1} p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \beta_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-2}(x); \\
\sigma_4(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \gamma_{k+2} p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1} \beta_{k+2} p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \gamma_k p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k \beta_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k-1}(x); \\
\sigma_5(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+2} p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k+1} p_k(t) p_{k-1}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1} \gamma_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+1} p_{k+2}(t) p_{k+1}(x) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1} \gamma_k p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \beta_k p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x); \\
\sigma_6(t, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+1} \beta_{k+1} p_{k-1}(t) p_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2} \beta_{k+1} p_{k+1}(t) p_{k+2}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Abel's summation by parts and the initial conditions (2.3), it is not difficult to show that the following formulas are valid

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(t, x) &= \alpha_{n+2}^2 [p_n(t) p_n(x) - p_{n+2}(t) p_{n+2}(x)] \\ &\quad - 2[\alpha_n^2 p_n(t) p_n(x) + \alpha_{n+1}^2 p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+1}(x)] \\ &\quad - \beta_{n+1}^2 p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+1}(x) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2}^2 - \alpha_k^2) p_k(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n (\beta_{k+1}^2 - \beta_k^2) p_k(t) p_k(x);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2(t, x) &= \alpha_{n+2} \alpha_{n+4} p_{n+4}(t) p_n(x) + 2 \alpha_{n+1} \alpha_{n+3} p_{n+3}(t) p_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n-2}(x);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_3(t, x) &= (\alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+3} + \alpha_{n+3} \beta_{n+1}) p_{n+3}(t) p_n(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \alpha_{n+1} \beta_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n-1}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} [\alpha_{k+2} (\beta_{k+3} - \beta_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (\alpha_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+3}) \beta_{k+1}] p_{k+3}(t) p_k(x);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_4(t, x) &= \alpha_{n+2} (\gamma_{n+2} - \gamma_n) p_{n+2}(t) p_n(x) + \beta_{n+1} \beta_{n+2} p_{n+2}(t) p_n(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{k+2} (\gamma_{k+2} - \gamma_k) p_{k+2}(t) p_k(x);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_5(t, x) &= (\alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+2} - 2 \alpha_{n+1} \beta_n) p_{n+1}(t) p_n(x) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+1} p_{n+2}(t) p_{n+1}(x) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [(\alpha_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+1}) \beta_{k+2} \\ &\quad + (\beta_{k+2} - \beta_k) \alpha_{k+1}] p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1} (\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k) p_{k+1}(t) p_k(x);\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_6(t, x) &= -\alpha_{n+2} \beta_{n+1} p_{n+1}(t) p_{n+2}(x) - \alpha_{n+1} \beta_n p_n(t) p_{n+1}(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{k+2} (\beta_{k+2} - \beta_k) + (\alpha_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+1}) \beta_k] p_k(t) p_{k+1}(x).\end{aligned}$$

The representation (2.7)–(2.9) follows from (2.10)–(2.12) and the last six relations. Lemma 3 is completely proved.

Remark. For $N=1$ the representation of $F_n(t, x)$ was given in [17, 18] (see also [19] for $N=2$). Fejér's kernel plays an important role in some problems of summability of Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials [17, 18].

The following assertion can be inferred from Lemma 3, if we put $t=x$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $J \in AP_2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2}^2 - \alpha_k^2) p_k^2(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n (\beta_{k+1}^2 - \beta_k^2) p_k^2(x) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{k+2}(\gamma_{k+2} - \gamma_k) p_k(x) p_{k+2}(x) \\
 & + 3 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+1}\beta_k) p_k(x) p_{k+1}(x) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (\alpha_{k+2}\beta_{k+3} - \alpha_{k+3}\beta_{k+1}) p_k(x) p_{k+3}(x) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{k+1}(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k) p_k(x) p_{k+1}(x) = G_n(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_n(x) = & (2\alpha_n^2 - \alpha_{n+2}^2) p_n^2(x) + (2\alpha_{n+1}^2 + \beta_{n+1}^2) p_{n+1}^2(x) + \alpha_{n+2}^2 p_{n+2}^2(x) \\
 & - \alpha_{n+2}\alpha_{n+4} p_n(x) p_{n+4}(x) - 2\alpha_{n+1}\alpha_{n+3} p_{n-1}(x) p_{n+3}(x) \\
 & - \alpha_n\alpha_{n+2} p_{n-2}(x) p_{n+2}(x) - \alpha_{n+2}(\gamma_{n+2} - \gamma_n) p_n(x) p_{n+2}(x) \\
 & - \beta_{n+1}\beta_{n+2} p_n(x) p_{n+2}(x) - 2\alpha_{n+1}\beta_{n+2} p_{n-1}(x) p_{n+2}(x) \\
 & - (\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+3} + \alpha_{n+3}\beta_{n+1}) p_n(x) p_{n+3}(x) \\
 & + 2\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) p_{n+2}(x) \\
 & + (3\alpha_{n+1}\beta_n - \alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+2}) p_n(x) p_{n+1}(x), \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

where α_n , β_n , and γ_n are defined by (2.4).

Remark. For $N=1$ formula (2.13) was obtained by J. Dombrowski [7].

3. TRACE FORMULA

If $\{p_n\}$ is a system of orthonormal polynomials, satisfying (1.1), (1.2), then one can introduce the associated polynomials $\{p_n^{(m)}(x)\}$ of order m ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) by the shifted recurrence formula

$$xp_n^{(m)}(x) = a_{n+m+1} p_{n+1}^{(m)}(x) + b_{n+m} p_n^{(m)}(x) + a_{n+m} p_{n-1}^{(m)}(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{3.1}$$

with boundary conditions

$$p_{-1}^{(m)}(x) = 0, \quad p_0^{(m)}(x) = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

We need the following result

LEMMA 4 [11]. *Assume that the Jacobi matrix $J \in AP_2$. Then for every continuous function f and for all integers k and j one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x) p_{2n+j}(x) p_{2n+k}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi a_{j+1}^0 a_{k+1}^0} \int_E \frac{f(x) \text{Sign}[T'(x)]}{\sqrt{1-T^2(x)}} \\ & \quad \times [a_{k+1}^0 q_{k-j+1}^{(j+1)}(x) + a_{j+1}^0 q_{j-k+1}^{(k+1)}(x)] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$a_{m+k+1}^0 q_k^{(m)}(x) = -a_m^0 q_{-k-2}^{(m+k+1)}(x) \quad (k < 0). \quad (3.4)$$

The next assertion gives the weak type asymptotics.

LEMMA 5. *Suppose that the Jacobi matrix $J \in AP_2$. Then for every continuous function f and for all integers k one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) G_{2n+k}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{4(a_1^0 a_2^0)^2}{\pi} \int_E f(x) |T'(x)| \sqrt{1-T^2(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_E f(x) |2x - b_k^0 - b_{k+1}^0| \\ & \quad \times \sqrt{\frac{[(a_{k+2}^0 + a_{k+1}^0)^2 - (x - b_k^0)(x - b_{k+1}^0)] \times}{[(x - b_k^0)(x - b_{k+1}^0) - (a_{k+2}^0 - a_{k+1}^0)^2]}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Using the definition of the class AP_2 and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k^{(2)} &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) G_{2n+k}(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) \{ \alpha_{2n+k+2}^2 p_{2n+k+2}^2(x) + 2\alpha_{2n+k}^2 p_{2n+k}^2(x) \\ & \quad - \alpha_{2n+k+2}^2 p_{2n+k}^2(x) + 2\alpha_{2n+k+1}^2 p_{2n+k+1}^2(x) \\ & \quad - \alpha_{2n+k+2} \alpha_{2n+k+4} p_{2n+k}(x) p_{2n+k+4}(x) \\ & \quad - 2\alpha_{2n+k+1} \alpha_{2n+k+3} p_{2n+k-1}(x) p_{2n+k+3}(x) \\ & \quad - \alpha_{2n+k} \alpha_{2n+k+2} p_{2n+k-2}(x) p_{2n+k+2}(x) \} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.5), (3.3), and (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_k^{(2)} &= (a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0)^2 \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_E \frac{f(x) \operatorname{Sign}[T'(x)]}{\sqrt{1-T^2(x)}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{2}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+3)}(x) + \frac{4}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k+2)}(x) + \frac{2}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} [q_5^{(k+1)}(x) + q_{-3}^{(k+5)}(x)] - \frac{2}{a_k^0} [q_5^{(k)}(x) + q_{-3}^{(k+4)}(x)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} [q_5^{(k-1)}(x) + q_{-3}^{(k+3)}(x)] \right\} dx \\
 &= \frac{(a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0)^2}{4\pi} \int_E \frac{f(x) \operatorname{Sign}[T'(x)]}{\sqrt{1-T^2(x)}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{2}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+3)}(x) + \frac{4}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k+2)}(x) + \frac{2}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} [q_5^{(k+1)}(x) - q_1^{(k+3)}(x)] - \frac{2}{a_k^0} [q_5^{(k)}(x) - q_1^{(k+2)}(x)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} [q_5^{(k-1)}(x) - q_1^{(k+1)}(x)] \right\} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (see [11])

$$q_5^{(m)}(x) = 2T(x) q_3^{(m)}(x) - q_1^{(m)}(x) = [4T^2(x) - 1] q_1^{(m)}(x),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_k^{(2)} &= \frac{(a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0)^2}{4\pi} \int_E \frac{f(x) \operatorname{Sign}[T'(x)]}{\sqrt{1-T^2(x)}} \\
 &\quad \left\{ \left[\frac{3}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+3)}(x) + \frac{6}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k+2)}(x) + \frac{4}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{2}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k)}(x) + \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k-1)}(x) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 4T^2(x) \left[\frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) + \frac{2}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k)}(x) + \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k-1)}(x) \right] \right\} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of the class AP_2 and (3.1), (3.2), that

$$q_1^{(m)}(x) = \frac{1}{a_{m+1}^0} (x - b_m^0), \quad q_1^{(m+2)}(x) = q_1^{(m)}(x).$$

So

$$\frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) + \frac{2}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k)}(x) + \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k-1)}(x) = \frac{2}{a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0} (2x - b_k^0 - b_{k+1}^0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+3)}(x) + \frac{6}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k+2)}(x) + \frac{4}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k+1)}(x) \\ & + \frac{2}{a_k^0} q_1^{(k)}(x) + \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0} q_1^{(k-1)}(x) = \frac{8}{a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0} (2x - b_k^0 - b_{k+1}^0). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$I_k^{(2)} = \frac{2}{\pi} a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0 \int_E f(x) (2x - b_k^0 - b_{k+1}^0) \text{Sign}[T'(x)] \sqrt{1 - T^2(x)} dx.$$

One can calculate the integrand. By (2.1) and (3.1) (for $m=0$) one obtains

$$2T(x) = \frac{1}{a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0} [(x - b_k^0)(x - b_{k+1}^0) - (a_{k+1}^0)^2 - (a_{k+2}^0)^2],$$

$$2T'(x) = \frac{2x - b_k^0 - b_{k+1}^0}{a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0},$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - T^2(x) &= \frac{1}{(2a_{k+1}^0 a_{k+2}^0)^2} \{ [(a_{k+1}^0 + a_{k+2}^0)^2 - (x - b_k^0)(x - b_{k+1}^0)] \\ & \quad \times [(x - b_k^0)(x - b_{k+1}^0) - (a_{k+1}^0 - a_{k+2}^0)^2] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 is completely proved.

COROLLARY 2. *Assume that the recurrence coefficients $\{a_{n+1}\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ belong to the class AP_2 and that they satisfy (1.3). Then for every continuous function f and for all integers k one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) G_{2n+k}(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_E f(x) x^2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx.$$

The main result is the following analog of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let the Jacobi matrix $J \in AP_2$ and the recursion coefficients a_n, b_n satisfy*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_{n+2} - a_n| + |b_{n+2} - b_n|) < \infty \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_{n+1} - a_n| + |b_{n+1} - b_n|)(|e_n| + |e_{n+1}|) < \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

where $e_n = b_{n-1} + b_n - b_0^0 - b_1^0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n = 0$. Then uniformly on every closed subset of $E_0 := E \setminus \{T^2(x) = 1\}$ the Trace Formula

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(\alpha_{n+2}^2 - \alpha_n^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{n+1}^2 - \beta_n^2)] p_n^2(x) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\beta_{n+1}(\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n) + 3(\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+2} - \alpha_{n+1}\beta_n)] p_n(x) p_{n+1}(x) \\ & + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+2}(\gamma_{n+2} - \gamma_n) p_n(x) p_{n+2}(x) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+3} - \alpha_{n+3}\beta_{n+1}) p_n(x) p_{n+3}(x) \\ & = \frac{4}{\pi} (a_1^0 a_2^0)^2 \frac{|T'(x)| \sqrt{1 - T^2(x)}}{w(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

holds, where α_n, β_n , and γ_n are defined by (2.4).

Recall, that in our case, the measure μ is absolutely continuous in E_0 , and $\mu'(x) = w(x)$ is strictly positive and continuous on E_0 (see [11]).

Proof of Theorem 2. We adapt the methods of [11] to the present situation. It is not difficult to see that from (2.4), (3.6), and (3.7) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [|\alpha_{n+2}^2 - \alpha_n^2| + |\beta_{n+1}^2 - \beta_n^2| + |\beta_{n+1}(\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n)| \\ & + |\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+2} - \alpha_{n+1}\beta_n| + |\alpha_{n+2}(\gamma_{n+2} - \gamma_n)| \\ & + |\alpha_{n+2}\beta_{n+3} - \alpha_{n+3}\beta_{n+1}|] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, the series on the left side of our assertion converges uniformly on the closed subset K from E_0 . If we denote its sum by $\psi(x)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(x) = \psi(x)$$

uniformly on K ; consequently, for every continuous function f and for all integers k one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) G_{2n+k}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_E f(x) \psi(x) w(x) dx.$$

On the other hand, using periodicity of $\{a_{n+1}^0\}$ and $\{b_n^0\}$, and by Lemma 5 one obtains

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f(x) G_{2n+k}(x) w(x) dx = \frac{4}{\pi} (a_1^0 a_2^0)^2 \int_E f(x) |T'(x)| \sqrt{1 - T^2(x)} dx.$$

This means that for $x \in E_0$

$$\psi(x) w(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} (a_1^0 a_2^0)^2 |T'(x)| \sqrt{1 - T^2(x)}$$

from which the Trace Formula follows, if we use the end of the proof of Lemma 5. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. *If the recurrence coefficients satisfy*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n+2} - a_n| < \infty, \quad b_n = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+),$$

then the Trace Formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{n+1}^2 a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n-1}^2 a_n^2) p_n^2(x) \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} a_{n+2} (a_{n+3}^2 + a_{n+2}^2 - a_{n+1}^2 - a_n^2) p_n(x) p_{n+2}(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x^2 \sqrt{1-x^2}}{w(x)} \end{aligned}$$

holds uniformly on every compact subset of E_0 .

In fact, in this case

$$\alpha_n = a_{n-1} a_n, \quad \beta_n = 0, \quad \gamma_n = a_n^2 + a_{n+1}^2 - (a_1^0)^2 - (a_2^0)^2.$$

Remarks. 1. In view of the assumption on the recurrence coefficients the relation $E_0 = (-1, 1)$ holds, and Corollary 3 gives a Trace Formula for the single interval case.

2. Another Trace Formula is obtained in [11, 23].

4. EXAMPLES

1. The sieved Pollaczek polynomials.

(a) The symmetric sieved Pollaczek polynomials [12]. Let $\{C_n^\lambda(x; a; 2) \mid (a > 0, \lambda > 0)\}$ be the symmetric sieved Pollaczek polynomials of the first kind. Then

$$C_0^\lambda(x; a; 2) = 1,$$

$$C_1^\lambda(x; a; 2) = \frac{x(\lambda + a)}{\lambda},$$

$$xC_{2n+1}^\lambda(x; a; 2) = \frac{1}{2} C_{2n+2}^\lambda(x; a; 2) + \frac{1}{2} C_{2n}^\lambda(x; a; 2),$$

$$xC_{2n}^\lambda(x; a; 2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n+2\lambda}{n+a+\lambda} C_{2n+1}^\lambda(x; a; 2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{n+a+\lambda} C_{2n-1}^\lambda(x; a; 2).$$

If $\{\hat{C}_n^\lambda(x; a; 2)\}$ is the corresponding orthonormal system, then

$$C_n^\lambda(x; a; 2) = \left\{ \frac{\pi \Gamma(2\lambda)}{a + \lambda} 2^{-2\lambda+1} \right\}^{1/2} \lambda_n^{1/2} \hat{C}_n^\lambda(x; a; 2)$$

with

$$\lambda_{2n} = \frac{n! (a + \lambda)}{(n + a + \lambda)(2\lambda)_n}, \quad \lambda_{2n+1} = \frac{n! (a + \lambda)}{(2\lambda)_{n+1}},$$

where, as usual,

$$(a_n) = \frac{\Gamma(n + a)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x\hat{C}_{2n+1}^\lambda(x; a; 2) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+a+\lambda+1}} \hat{C}_{2n+2}^\lambda(x; a; 2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+2\lambda}{n+a+\lambda}} \hat{C}_{2n}^\lambda(x; a; 2) \\ x\hat{C}_{2n}^\lambda(x; a; 2) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+2\lambda}{n+a+\lambda}} \hat{C}_{2n+1}^\lambda(x; a; 2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+a+\lambda}} \hat{C}_{2n-1}^\lambda(x; a; 2). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$a_{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+a+\lambda}}, \quad a_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+2\lambda}{n+a+\lambda}}, \quad b_n = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

From (4.1) one easily finds

$$a_{2n} - a_{2n+1} \approx C \frac{1}{n},$$

which means that (1.4) does not hold and hence that Theorem 1 cannot be applied. On the other hand,

$$a_{2(n+1)} - a_{2n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad a_{2n+1} - a_{2n+3} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

which means that Corollary 3 can be applied. Note that when $a=0$ then $C_n^\lambda(x; a; 2)$'s reduce to the $C_n^\lambda(x; 2)$'s of Al-Salam *et al.* [1], for which Theorem 1 cannot be applied, and Corollary 3 can be applied.

(b) The nonsymmetric sieved Pollaczek polynomials ([4]; see also [16]). Given $a, b, c, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the 2-sieved 4-parameter Pollaczek polynomials as the characteristic polynomials associated with Jacobi matrix $J(2; a, b, c; \lambda)$, where

$$a_n = \sqrt{\frac{A_{n-1}D_n}{B_{n-1}B_n}}, \quad b_n = \frac{C_n}{D_n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n} &= n + c + 2\lambda, & A_{2n+1} &= 1, & B_{2n} &= 2(n + a + c + \lambda), & B_{2n+1} &= 2, \\ C_{2n} &= -2b, & C_{2n+1} &= 0, & D_{2n} &= n + c + 2\lambda - 1, & D_{2n+1} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

For the 4-parameter Pollaczek polynomials see Chihara's book [5, p. 185], whereas for the sieving process see the works [1, 4, 12]. The recurrence coefficients associated with the above orthogonal polynomials

$$a_{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+c+2\lambda-1}{n+a+c+\lambda}}, \quad a_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n+c+2\lambda}{n+a+c+\lambda}},$$

$$b_{2n} = -\frac{b}{n+a+c+\lambda}, \quad b_{2n+1} = 0$$

are not bounded variation, but it is not difficult to see that the conditions of (3.6) and (3.7) of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

2. Orthonormal polynomial system defined by the recurrence relation (1.1) with

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^n D}{n^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right), \quad b_n = \frac{(-1)^n R}{n^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{p+1}}\right) \quad (p \geq 1), \quad (4.2)$$

where D and R are constants independent of n , satisfy conditions (3.6) and (3.7).

3. Polynomials orthogonal on two intervals [2, 3, 6, 20]. Denote

$$E_\xi = [-1, -\xi] \cup [\xi, 1] \quad (0 \leq \xi < 1).$$

(a) Let

$$w_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x+\xi}{x-\xi}} \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}} \quad (x \in E_\xi).$$

In the paper [3] the polynomials

$$\hat{p}_n(x; \xi) = \hat{k}_n x^n + \dots, \quad \hat{k}_n > 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; x \in E_\xi)$$

were introduced; they are orthonormal with respect to the weight $w_0(x)$ on E_ξ .

They satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation

$$a_{n+1} \hat{p}_{n+1}(x; \xi) - (x + b_n) \hat{p}_n(x; \xi) + a_n \hat{p}_{n-1}(x; \xi) = 0$$

with

$$a_n = \frac{1 + (-1)^n \xi}{2} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad b_n = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \quad b_0 = -\frac{1 - \xi}{2}.$$

Obviously, the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

(b) Denote

$$w^{(p, q)}(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{1 - \xi^2}\right)^{p+q} (x + \alpha)(x^2 - \xi^2)^p (1 - x^2)^q & \text{for } -1 \leq x \leq -\xi \\ -\left(\frac{2}{1 - \xi^2}\right)^{p+q} (x + \alpha)(x^2 - \xi^2)^p (1 - x^2)^q & \text{for } \xi \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin E_\xi, \end{cases}$$

where $p > -1$, $q > -1$, $-\xi \leq \alpha \leq \xi$.

Let $\{\rho_n^{(p, q)}(x)\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) be an orthogonal polynomial system on E_ξ with respect to the weight $w^{(p, q)}(x)$, where the leading coefficients of $\rho_n^{(p, q)}(x)$ are equal to 1. In [2] G. I. Barkov has shown that

$$\rho_{2n}^{(p, q)}(x) = \left(\frac{1 - \xi^2}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{2}{1 - \xi^2}\right)^{p+q} I_n^{(p, q)}\left(\frac{2x^2 - \xi^2 - 1}{1 - \xi^2}\right),$$

$$\rho_{2n+1}^{(p, q)}(x) = \frac{\rho_{2n+2}^{(p, q)}(x) - m_{2n} \rho_{2n}^{(p, q)}(x)}{x + \alpha},$$

$$m_{2n} = \frac{\rho_{2n+2}^{(p, q)}(\alpha)}{\rho_{2n}^{(p, q)}(\alpha)},$$

where $I_n^{(p, q)}(x)$ are Jacobi polynomials with leading coefficient 1 orthogonal with respect to the weight $(1+x)^p (1-x)^q$ ($-1 \leq x \leq 1$). The corresponding orthonormal polynomials $\{\hat{\rho}_n^{(p, q)}\}$ can be represented in the form

$$\hat{\rho}_{2n}^{(p, q)}(x) = (1 - \xi^2)^{-(2n+1)/2} 2^{-(p+q)/2} \times \left[\frac{n! \Gamma(n+p+1) \Gamma(n+q+1) \Gamma(n+p+q+1)}{\Gamma(2n+p+q+1) \Gamma(2n+p+q+2)} \right]^{1/2} \rho_{2n}^{(p, q)}(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_{2n+1}^{(p,q)}(x) &= (1 - \xi^2)^{-(2n+1)/2} 2^{-(p+q)/2} \\ &\times \left[\frac{n! \Gamma(n+p+1) \Gamma(n+q+1) \Gamma(n+p+q+1)}{\Gamma(2n+p+q+1) \Gamma(2n+p+q+2)} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times [-m_{2n}]^{-1/2} \rho_{2n+1}^{(p,q)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} x \hat{\rho}_n^{(p,q)}(x) &= a_{n+1}^{(p,q)} \hat{\rho}_{n+1}^{(p,q)}(x) + b_n^{(p,q)} \hat{\rho}_n^{(p,q)}(x) + a_n^{(p,q)} \hat{\rho}_{n-1}^{(p,q)}(x) \\ &\quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2n+1}^{(p,q)} &= \sqrt{-m_{2n}}, \\ a_{2n+2}^{(p,q)} &= \frac{1 - \xi^2}{\sqrt{-m_{2n}}} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)(n+p+1)(n+q+1)(n+p+q+1)}{(2n+p+q+1)(2n+p+q+2)^2(2n+p+q+3)} \right\}^{1/2}, \\ b_n^{(p,q)} &= (-1)^n \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the corresponding Jacobi matrix belongs to the class AP_2 .

In the case $\alpha = \pm \xi$, i.e., $w(x) = |x \pm \xi| (x^2 - \xi^2)^p (1 - x^2)^q (2/(1 - \xi^2))^{p+q}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2n+2}^{(p,q)} &= \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \left[\frac{(n+q+1)(n+1)}{(2n+p+q+3)(2n+p+q+2)} \right]^{1/2} \\ a_{2n+1}^{(p,q)} &= \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \left[\frac{(n+p+q+1)(n+p+1)}{(2n+p+q+1)(2n+p+q+2)} \right]^{1/2} \quad (4.3) \\ b_n &= (-1)^n \xi. \end{aligned}$$

These sequences of recurrence coefficients do not satisfy (1.4), but they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.

In particular, in the case $\alpha = \xi = 0$, i.e., $w(x) = |x|^{2p+1} (1 - x^2)^q$ we have the generalized Chebychev polynomials, for which [13, 15] (see (4.2) with $p = 1$):

$$a_n^{(p,q)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C_{p,q}}{n} (-1)^n + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad b_n = 0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank P. Nevai for his attention and the referee for his very useful remarks.

REFERENCES

1. W. Al-Salam, W. Allaway, and R. Askey, Sieved ultraspherical polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 39–55.
2. G. I. Barkov, On some systems of polynomials orthogonal on two symmetric intervals, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **4** (1960), 3–16. [Russian]
3. V. F. Brjehka, On a certain class of polynomials orthogonal on two finite symmetric intervals, *Zap. NII Mat. Mech. Kharkov State Univ. and Kharkov Math. Soc.* **XYII** (1940), 75–98. [Russian]
4. J. Charris and M. E. H. Ismail, On sieved orthogonal polynomials. Y. Sieved Pollaczek polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 1177–1218.
5. T. S. Chihara, “An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials,” Gordon & Breach, New York, 1978.
6. T. S. Chihara, On kernel polynomials and related systems, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (3)* **19** (1964), 451–459.
7. J. Dombrowski, Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators, I, II, *Pacific J. Math.* **114** (1984), 325–334; *Pacific J. Math.* **120** (1985), 47–53.
8. J. Dombrowski and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, measures and recurrence relations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17** (1986), 752–759.
9. J. S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, Orthogonal polynomials with asymptotically periodic recurrence coefficients, *J. Approx. Theory* **46** (1986), 251–283.
10. J. S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, Orthogonal polynomials on several intervals via a polynomial mapping, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **308** (1988), 559–581.
11. J. S. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, Approximating the weight function for the orthogonal polynomials on several intervals, *J. Approx. Theory* **65** (1991), 341–371.
12. M. E. H. Ismail, On sieved orthogonal polynomials, I. Symmetric Pollaczek analogues, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **16** (1985), 1093–1113.
13. K. V. Laschenov, On a certain class of orthogonal polynomials, *Uchen. Zap. Leningrad State Pedagog. Inst.* **89** (1953), 169–189. [Russian]
14. A. Máté and P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and absolutely continuous measures, in “Approximation IV” (C. K. Chiu *et al.*, Eds.), pp. 611–617, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
15. P. Nevai, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 213, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
16. P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials, recurrences, Jacobi matrices, and measures, in “Progress in Approx. Theory” (A. A. Gonchar and E. B. Saff, Eds.), pp. 79–104, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1992.
17. B. P. Osilenker, On summation of polynomial Fourier series expansions of functions of the class L^p_μ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **202** (1972), 529–531; English translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **13** (1972), 140–142.
18. B. P. Osilenker, Convergence and summability of Fourier expansions in orthogonal polynomials, associated with difference operator of second order, *Sibirsk. Mat. J.* **15** (1974), 892–908; English translation in *Siberian Math. J.* **15** (1974), 623–643.
19. B. P. Osilenker, On functional systems associated with the five-term recursion, I. Representations of the bilinear kernels, preprint. [Russian]

20. F. Peherstorfer, Orthogonal and Chebyshev polynomials on two intervals, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **55** (1990), 256–278.
21. F. Peherstorfer, On Bernstein–Szegő orthogonal polynomials on several intervals, II. Orthogonal polynomials with periodic recurrence coefficients, *J. Approx. Theory* **64** (1991), 123–161.
22. W. Van Assche, Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials and three term recurrences, in “Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory and Practice” (P. Nevai, Ed.), NATO ASI Ser., Vol. C294, pp. 435–462, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
23. W. Van Assche, Christoffel functions and Turan determinants on several intervals, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **48** (1993), 207–223.